6th International Symposium on Taiwan Nuclear Cardiology

CAD IN WOMEN: THE VALUE OF MYOCARDIAL PERFUSION IMAGING

2017/04/08

Lien-Hsin Hu



Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Department of Nuclear Medicine

臺北榮民總醫院 核醫部 胡蓮欣

In 2013, roughly one in four deaths in women are from heart disease



= 398,086 deaths

The disease burden of CAD in women

J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:973-



One women died every 1'20", in the US, from cardiovascular diseases



J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:973–5 Health, United States, 2015, Chartbook p.11



THE TOP 10 CAUSES OF DEATH GLOBALLY 2015





Number of female deaths attributable to breast cancer 1 in 31.6

Number of female deaths attributable to cardiovascular diseases 1 in 8



iStockphoto.com

Awareness of CAD Among Women



THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WOMEN AND MEN: THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

TABLE 1 Arterial Diameter, Myocardial Perfusion, LV Bed Size, and Shear							
	0		p Value				
Arterial diameter (mm)*	ŦŦ						
LM	$\textbf{3.91} \pm \textbf{0.67}$	$\textbf{4.35} \pm \textbf{0.82}$	<0.001				
LAD	$\textbf{3.24} \pm \textbf{0.58}$	$\textbf{3.54} \pm \textbf{0.67}$	<0.001				
LCx	$\textbf{2.75} \pm \textbf{0.64}$	$\textbf{3.18} \pm \textbf{0.71}$	<0.001				
RCA	$\textbf{3.26} \pm \textbf{0.65}$	$\textbf{3.7} \pm \textbf{0.70}$	<0.001				
Mean size of all arteries	3.29	3.7					
Myocardial perfusion ⁺ (ml/min/g) for women $(n = 1,150)$ and men $(n = 3,178)$							
Rest	$\textbf{0.97} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	$\textbf{0.73} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	<0.00001				
Stress	2.36 ± 0.42	> 1.94 ± 0.4	<0.00001				
CFR	$\textbf{2.57} \pm \textbf{0.59}$	$\textbf{2.74} \pm \textbf{0.71}$	<0.00001				

Smaller arterial size, with high flow → endothelial HIGH shear stress

LM	16.3	11.4	
LAD	19.2	14.1	
LCx	15.5	9.6	
RCA	9.4	6.2	
Mean size, all arteries	15.1	10.4	

J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2016;9:465-82



& Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2012;302:E481-95

Inhibition of platelet aggregation

In women, the net effects of arterial size and high shear and their associated effects lead to stable diffuse CAD in women until late in life, after withdrawal of estrogens that interact with shear stress

"THE GENERAL RULE"

However, endothelial shear stress and its effects are a complex, heterogeneously varying regional-, time-, and age-related continuum

"GREAT INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES"

J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2016;9:465-82

Consequently, with given risk factors, Some women never develop CAD Others develop focal disease prematurely (as men do) And others have delayed focal disease Whereas in many women, coronary atherosclerosis is modified by these shear-dependent mechanisms into diffuse disease without focal stenosis

Microvascular disease (MVD) is most commonly associated with diffuse epicardial coronary atherosclerosis

HIGH INCIDENCE OF MVD IN WOMEN

J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2016;9:465-82

TWO DISTINCT CHARACTERS OF CAD IN WOMEN

- Atypical nonexertional angina, related to diffuse coronary narrowing
 - High mortality in post-menopausal women late in life when they do develop focal stenosis

THE DESTINY OF WOMEN



In Men: Focal disease keeps going with age

In Women: Diffuse disease and MVD \rightarrow superimposed with focal disease

from: Sydney Aquarium

PRETEST RISK STRATIFICATION FOR CAD IN WOMEN

NONTRADITIONAL WOMEN RISK FACTORS FOR CAD

Table 1. Risk factors for coronary artery disease in women

Major risk factors	Nontraditional risk factors		
Hypertension	Chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease		
	↑ MI 50X(SLE, RA, psoriasis)		
Age	Menopause CAD 10 yrs after menopause		
Diabetes mellitus	Pregnancy-induced HTN, eclampsia, pre-eclampsia		
Smoking	Gestational diabetes \uparrow DM 4-7X \rightarrow \uparrow CAD		
Family history of premature CVD	Polycystic ovarian syndrome		
(men <age 55="" 65)<="" <age="" or="" td="" women=""><td></td></age>			
Dyslipidemia (HDL-C <40 in men or <50 in women)	Mental stress/depression		
Physical inactivity/obesity			

SLE Systemic lupus erythematosus, HTN hypertension, HDL-C high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

→Traditional risk factors and the Framingham risk score (FRS) underestimate IHD risk in women

J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:986-9

Categorization of IHD risk in symptomatic women



ROLE OF NONINVASIVE TESTING IN WOMEN WITH SUSPECTED IHD: AHA CONSENSUS

Symptomatic Women with suspected IHD

Index IHD Risk Estimate



Circulation. 2014;130:350-

EXERCISE TREADMILL TEST (ETT)

	Overall	Women	Men
Sensitivity, %	60 (2)	61 (1)	68 (1)
Specificity, %	75 (2)	70 (1)	77 (1)
PPV, %	-	Lower (1) 🧲	🔶 Higher (1)
NPV, %	-	Similar (1) 🧲	🔶 Similar (1)

- Lower performance to exercise in women
- Autonomic and hormonal influences, inappropriate catecholamine release during exercise
- Estrogen, with molecular similarities to digitalis, can cause a digitalis-like false-positive response

(1) J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:991–6

(2) The Massachusetts General Hospital Handbook of Internal Medicine_3rd ed.

STRESS SPECT

	Overall	Women	Men
Sensitivity, %	E: 85-90 (2)	S: 81 (4, 5) P Higher than E (3)	E: Higher (1) P: Higher (1)
Specificity, %	E: 70-75 (2)	S: 78 (4, 5)	S: Higher (3)

- Stress SPECT: Higher sen. & spe. than ETT
- Women: lower sen. & spe. than men
- Lower sen. in women: Exercise performance & small heart size
- Lower specificity in women: soft tissue attenuation & obesity



STRESS PET

	Overall	Overall Women		
Sensitivity, %	90 (2)			
Specificity, %	89 (2)			
PPV, %	94 (2)	SIIVIILAK		
NPV, %	73 (2)			
Accuracy, %	90 (2)	87 (1)	87 (1)	

- Excellent diagnostic performance:
 - 1. Routine attenuation correction \rightarrow High specificity
 - 2. High spatial & contrast resolution \rightarrow High sensitivity
- Short-lived radiopharmaceuticals → High lab. efficiency
- High temporal resolution → absolute quantification

(1) J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:997–1007

CORONARY CT ANGIOGRAPHY (CCTA)

	Overall	Women	Men
Sensitivity, %	90 (3)	100 (2)	99 (2)
Specificity, %	90 (3)	75 (2)	90 (2)
PPV, %	-	81 (2)	95 (2)
NPV, %	99 (4)	100 (2)	98 (2)
Accuracy, %	-	Similar or 88 (1, 2) ሩ	➡> Similar or 96 (1, 2)

A significant impact as an effective gatekeeper to ICA

Table 1 — Findings among patients without history of CAD who had a noninvasive stress test before elective coronary angiography.

Noninvasive test	Patients, N = 387,633, n (%)	Obstructive CAD, N = 173,448, n (%)	Nonobstructive CAD, N = 214,185, n (%)	Р
Standard exercise stress test	37,969 (100)	17,016 (44.8)	20,953 (55.2)	<.0001
Stress echocardiogram	44,829 (100)	19,651 (43.8)	25,178 (56.2)	<.0001
Stress testing with SPECT MPI	302,651 (100)	134,670 (44.5)	167,981 (55.5)	<.0001
Stress testing with CMR	2926 (100)	1331 (45.5)	1595 (54.5)	<.0001
Coronary CTA	8323 (100)	5791 (69.6)	2532 (30.4)	<.0001

CAD, coronary artery disease; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; CTA, CT angiography; SPECT, single photon emission CT. Table modified and adapted from Patel et al.²

(1) J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:1016–22

(2) Am J Cardiol 2007;100:1532–1537

(3) JACC: Cardiovascular imaging 2016;9: 421-435

(4) J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2014 8(6):480-2

STRESS ECHO (SE)

	Overall	Women	Men
Sensitivity, %	85 (1, 3)	Similar (2, 3)	⇒ Similar (2, 3)
Specificity, %	77 (1, 3)	Similar (2, 3)	➡> Similar (2, 3)

- Accuracy: similar with stress SPECT
- Higher specificity
- Similar performance in women & men

• NO RADIATION!!!

Table 3. Diagnostic value of various noninvasive stress testing modalities compared with coronary angiography for diagnosing CAD in women without prior history of IHD

Modality	Number of studies	Number of women	Strength of evidence*	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
ECG	29	3392	High	62% (55%-68%)	68% (63%-73%)	57	72
Echo	14	1286	High	79% (74%-83%)	83% (74%-89%)	78	83
MPI	14	1000	High	81% (76%-86%)	78% (69%-84%)	75	83
CMR	5	501	Low	72% (55%-85%)	84% (69%-93%)	62	89
CCTA	5	474	Low	93% (69%-99%)	77% (54%-91%)	63	96

Tables adapted from Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality June 2012 report⁵

PPV, positive predictive value; *NPV*, negative-predictive value; *ECG*, electrocardiography; Echo, stress echocardiography, either with exercise or pharmacological stress; *MPI*, myocardial perfusion imaging, using single-proton emission computed tomography (SPECT); *CMR*, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; *CCTA*, coronary computed tomography angiography * Strength of evidence is lower for CMR and CCTA as documented by the wide 95% confidence interval (CI)

CARDIAC MR (CMR)

	Women	Men
Sensitivity, %	89 (1, 2, 3)	86 (1, 2, 3)
Specificity, %	84 (1, 2, 3)	83 (1, 2, 3)
Accuracy, %	AUC 0.9 (1, 2, 3)	AUC 0.89 (1, 2, 3)

• Excellent diagnostic performance

- Comparable results in both genders
- Multidirectional and comprehensive information: cine image, stress/rest perfusion imaging, MR angiography and scar imaging
- No Radiation!!!

(1) J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:1036–40

- (2) Circulation. 2014;129:1129-1138
- (3) JACC: Cardiovascular imaging 2016;9: 421-35

A PICTURE KEPT COMING INTO MY MIND, WHEN I WAS SUMMARIZING THE ABOVE INFORMATION...

A popular Quiz TV program years ago in Taiwan...



IS THERE A WAY OUT FOR MPI ?

QUANTIFICATION OF CORONARY BLOOD FLOW (CBF) & CORONARY FLOW RESERVE (CFR)



Lortie et al. EJNMMI 2007

ABSOLUTE MYOCARDIAL PERFUSION BY PET

Table 1Graded Absolute Flow and Coronary Flow Reserve Across Spectrum of Disease
(N = 14,962)

Population	n	Rest Flow (cc/min/g)	Stress Flow (cc/min/g)	CFR
Normal controls	3,484	$\textbf{0.82} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$\textbf{2.86} \pm \textbf{1.29}$	$\textbf{3.55} \pm \textbf{1.36}$
Risk factors only	3,592	$\textbf{0.85} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{2.25} \pm \textbf{1.07}$	$\textbf{2.80} \pm \textbf{1.39}$
Established coronary artery disease	1,650	$\textbf{0.83} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$\textbf{1.71} \pm \textbf{0.71}$	$\textbf{2.02} \pm \textbf{0.70}$
Mixed (risk factors and/or known coronary artery disease)	4,765	$\textbf{0.97} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$\textbf{1.86} \pm \textbf{0.58}$	$\textbf{1.93} \pm \textbf{0.48}$
Cardiomyopathy	594	$\textbf{0.73} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	$\textbf{1.47} \pm \textbf{0.56}$	$\textbf{2.02} \pm \textbf{0.67}$
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	345	$\textbf{0.90} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$\textbf{1.57} \pm \textbf{0.33}$	$\textbf{1.84} \pm \textbf{0.36}$
Syndrome X	348	$\textbf{1.06} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{2.65} \pm \textbf{1.31}$	$\textbf{2.54} \pm \textbf{1.31}$
After cardiac transplant	184	$\textbf{1.14} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{2.44} \pm \textbf{1.34}$	$\textbf{2.29} \pm \textbf{0.86}$

N = 14,962 from 252 unique publications. N-13 ammonia = 5,541; 0-15 water = 3,161; Rb-82 = 6,175.

N= 14,962 from 252 unique publications. N-13 ammonia= 5,541; O-15 water= 3,161; Rb-82=6,175. The earliest one: Japan, lida et al., normal controls, N=13. Circulation 1988

Gould et al. in: J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:1639 – 53

ABSOLUTE MYOCARDIAL PERFUSION BY SPECT

Co	nv	en	lio	nal
	SF		2Т	

New heartcentered Nal camera/ CZT camera

- Sugihara H, et al. J Nucl Cardiol. 2001;8:575–9
- Di Bella EV, et al., Invest Radiol. 2001;36:178–85
- Storto G, et al., J Nucl Cardiol. 2004;11(6):651-5
- Iida et al., Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2008;35:896–905
- Christian et al., Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2008;24: 269–76
- Hsu et al. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2014. Dec;41(12):2294-306
 - Ben-Haim et al. J Nucl Med. 2013;54:873–9
 - Nkoulou et al. J Nucl Med. 2016;57(12):1887-1892
 - Shrestha et al. J Nucl Cardiol. 2017;24(1):268-277

Small patient population or in animal experiments

Measuring MBF with SPECT is Feasible

Garcia. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2014, editorial page J Nucl Cardiol. 2017; 24(1): 278–281

APPLICATION OF FLOW QUANTIFICATION IN CLINICAL CASES



MVD w/o Epicardial stenosis



78F FH(+), strong

S/S: angina with jaw & arm radiation, at both rest and exertion for 11 yrs NTG response (+)

CT: no coronary calcium →CAG (-) years ago →PET

Caffeine inhibition(?): 24hr = 5.7 ug/ml 48hr = 1.8 ug/ml 72hr = 0 ug/ml → Repeat PET when no caffeine detectable

Other views (right septal, lateral, and inferior topographic views) were all similar



R1

D1

D2

Me

Coron Max 4.

Ri

D1

PET imaging prevented unnecessary procedures, with no further events or symptoms through 7-year follow-up on atorvastatin

Lateral Inferior Septal Anterior Mean 3.68 Mean 3.85 Mean 3.53 Mean 2.79 **Coronary Flow Capacity Map** Coronary Flow Reserve >4.0 > 4.0 /min/gm) OM1 st Septa 3.4 2.4 OM₂ 2.7 1.8 RCA-PDA 2.0 1.1 Other Se 3 1.7 0.9 Stress (1.0 0.8 0.0 0.0 Lateral Inferior Septal Anterior

63F FH(+), dyslipidemia

Presented with NSTEMI

CAG: occluded D1; moderate to severe stenosis at LAD, EF 60% Elective PCI recommended despite no symptoms after the acute episode

\rightarrow PET as a second opinion

mia. Minimally papacity

7% No ischemia. Mildly reduced flow capacity.

0% Moderately reduced, sometimes angina or STA with dipyridamole stress.

0% Severely reduced, usually angina and STA



J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2016;9:465-82

WHAT A HIGH-QUALITY QUANTITATIVE PERFUSION IMAGING CAN TELL US

- To facilitate diagnosis/ DDx of the following conditions:
 - Epicardial focal disease
 - Diffuse disease
 - Microvascular disease (MVD)
 - Any combination of the above
 - MVD v.s. Anterior wall attenuation artifact
- To prevent unnecessary procedures
- To quantitatively evaluate risk factors control

IN SUMMARY, CAD IN WOMEN IS...

- Prevalent, but Less aware
- Pathophysiologically different
 - Atypical symptoms
- Integration of nontraditional risk factors needed
- Heterogeneous, with component of more diffuse disease/ MVD
 - Poorer diagnostic performance
 - Higher mortality rate
 - SPECT: still the modality of choice in S/S(+) intermediate risk women
 - Blood flow quantification may help a lot in:

Further diagnosis/ DDx, and prevent unnecessary procedures

WE HAVE COME A LONG WAY BUT STILL HAVE A WAYS TO GO

.... The "long way " that we have come is the enormous amount of data already presented, and for that we should all rejoice in this progress on women 's health issues.

Leslee J. Shaw, PhD. et al, iJACC 2016;9:502-503

WE HAVE COME A LONG WAY BUT STILL HAVE A WAYS TO GO

The "ways to go " is whether we can commit to a strategic plan encompassing women 's health as a primary goal for cardiovascular imaging research.

WE HAVE COME A LONG WAY BUT STILL HAVE A WAYS TO GO

We need to know where we stand and only then will we know where to go.

WHY?

BECAUSE OF THEM?



BUT ALL OF THEM!

Should we not owe our best when it comes to taking care of one-half of the population of the planet.

Leslee J. Shaw, PhD. et al, iJACC 2016;9:502-503

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Epicardial rocal CAD or MVD

Branch Steal Schematic

It may have been attributed to MVD angina if there is no PET to evident the need for intervention

The higher sensitivity of PET than SPECT

Before PCA

After PCA

58F MVP, HTN smoking (+), quited FH (+), strong, dyslipidemia

S/S: exertional angina for 3 weeks

Stress EKG (-), SPECT (-) CT calcium score 118 in LAD, 1 yr ago "no CAD" by her cardiologist (MVD?)

→ PET → CAG: severe diagnal stenosis (80%) and mild LAD stenosis (57%) → PCI: open LAD and diagnal lesion → S/S relived

J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2016;9:465-82

MVD or Anterior wall attenuation artifact



PROGNOSTIC VALUE OF NIT

- Stress SPECT: Graded increased event rate with extensiveness & severity of defect.
- Stress PET: the percentage of abnormal stress myocardium was independently predictive of CAD mortality in women and men.
- CCTA: obstructive coronary artery disease revealed by CCTA in women, as in men, has significant predictive value for future cardiovascular events
- Stress ECHO: The prognostic utility has also been demonstrated in numerous studies and appears similar in both genders. Workload and exercise wall motion score index in exercise ECHO; negative and positive in pharmacological ECHO→ Increased event risk.
- CMR: high prognostic performance, studies revealed that the presence of inducible perfusion defects or WMA as independent predictors of hard cardiac events.

J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:1008–15 J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:1016–22 J Nucl Cardiol 2016;23:1036–40 J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67:1158–69

CONFIRM STUDY